

# NO CELL TOWER AT 1095 CHANNING AVE.

**Protect Your Home Value & Quality of Life!**

*AT&T and the Roman Catholic Welfare Corp. of San Jose want to build a 50 ft. cell tower in the middle of our Palo Alto residential neighborhood (R-1 zone) on top of St. Albert the Great church and St. Elizabeth Seton school, as close as 130 ft to homes and on top of 300 kids.*

***Tell the City of Palo Alto,  
the Catholic Church,  
NSA Wireless,  
AT&T...  
NO CELL TOWER AT 1095 CHANNING AVE.***



*Contact information at  
[www.nocelltowerat1095channing.com](http://www.nocelltowerat1095channing.com)*

**THIS TOWER IS NOT NECESSARY** – 13 towers exist within 1 mile of the proposed site, in commercial and light industrial districts. 6 of these towers already belong to AT&T, and AT&T could co-locate on the 7 others. Experts in RF propagation say that tower density is not the issue, coverage gaps (if proven) could be addressed through upgrades and tuning of existing equipment.

**WEAK CITY ORDINANCES** - The City of Palo Alto's wireless facilities ordinance is among the weakest in the nation, and the City does not enforce existing provisions to demand removal of abandoned equipment that could be used as an excuse not to upgrade existing facilities. Tell the City of Palo Alto that we deserve a wireless communication facility ordinance that protects our rights under the Telecommunications Act of 1996, and requires proof that proposed towers are necessary and proof that alternative commercial/industrial sites are considered.

**DEVALUATION OF HOMES** – Protect property tax revenue for our schools. Peer-reviewed studies show that property values decline 20% near cell towers. Palo Alto's real estate professionals are against this cell tower. Real estate agents are the new expert witnesses in court. People across the nation are suing their cities in court because of devaluation of property due to a new cell tower.

**VISUAL NUISANCE & PRIVACY** – Do you want a 50 ft. tower dominating the skyline – or taller, when more carriers demand space to add antennas? The proposed cell tower is 50 ft. tall, at least 12 ft. taller than surrounding structures, and looks directly down into children's bedrooms.

**NOISE POLLUTION** – Do you want the noise of commercial activity? Noise levels of a cell tower at 50 ft. can violate noise ordinances designed for residential equipment at ground level. The vibrations and hum are constant, causing many residents to lose sleep and sanity. The cell tower requires 24x7 on-site maintenance of equipment. Portable generators used to power cell sites through power outages bring excessive noise and pollution. Do you want trucks, lights, lifts, radios, & heavy equipment outside your window at 2 AM?

**HEALTH ISSUES** – Did you know...? The FCC's legislated “science” only measures thermal effects, and only in adult males. No studies have been done on women or children. There are a number of conclusive studies stating that electromagnetic radiation effects can include sleep disruption, impairment of memory and concentration, fatigue, headache, skin disorders, nausea, loss of appetite, tinnitus, cardiac problems (racing heartbeat), and possibly several forms of cancer – most notably leukemia, breast cancer, and brain tumors. Harmful radiation effects are reported to reach up to to a mile away from the tower location. Calls for basic research have been ignored for decades.

**READ FOR YOURSELF** – Read in Tim Wu's THE MASTER SWITCH about how the Telecommunications Act of 1996 enabled the rebirth of AT&T as a “monopoly without a soul.” Read in Devra Davis' National Book Award Finalist DISCONNECT about the “don't ask, don't tell” approach of the cell phone industry to real science, leading to an “immense disconnect between common opinions about cell phone safety and the actual data.”



**[www.nocelltowerat1095channing.com](http://www.nocelltowerat1095channing.com)**

**Join us on FACEBOOK.COM:**

**NO CELL TOWER AT 1095 CHANNING AVE**